

1 - The procedure for grading was fair.						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Меа	ns
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	60.00%		4.60	
Agree	(4)	2	40.00%			
Undecided	(3)	0	0.00%			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			
			-	0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Ra	te			Mean	STD	Median
5/12 (41.67%)			4.60	0.55	5.00

2 - The course was a valuable experience.											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Меа	ans	
Strongly Agree	(5)	2	40.00%					4.40			
Agree	(4)	3	60.00%								
Undecided	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%	1							
				0	25	50	100	Question			
Response Ra	te					Mean			STD	M	edian
5/12 (41.67%)					4.40			0.55	4	1.00

3 - The instructor, Jasmine Hocutt, was a	n effective	communicato	or								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Меа	ans	
Strongly Agree	(5)	5	100.00%					5.00			
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%	1							
Undecided	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%	1							
				0	25	50	100	Question			
Response R	ate					Mean			STD	Me	edian
5/12 (41.67	%)					5.00			0.00	Ę	5.00

4 - The instructor, Jasmine Hocutt, was accessible to students outside of class												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	onses			Me	ans	
Strongly Agree	(5)	4	80.00%					4.80)			
Agree	(4)	1	20.00%									
Undecided	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%	1								
				0	25	50	100	Quest	ion			
Response R	ate					Mean				STD	M	edian
5/12 (41.67%	6)					4.80				0.45		5.00



5 - The instructor, Jasmine Hocutt, was well-prepared for class												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses			Me	ans	
Strongly Agree	(5)	5	100.00%					5.0	00			
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Undecided	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%	1								
			-	0	25	50	100	Que	stion			
Response Ra	te					Mean				STD	M	edian
5/12 (41.67%)					5.00				0.00		5.00

6 - What grade do you expect to receive in this course?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent R	espon	ses		Me	ans		
A	(6)	2	40.00%									
В	(5)	2	40.00%									
С	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
D	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
F	(2)	0	0.00%]								
Other	(1)	1	20.00%									
	·		•	0	25	50	100					
			Res	ponse	Rate							
			5/1	2 (41.67	7%)							

7 - How would you rate this course?						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Меа	ns
Excellent	(5)	2	40.00%		4.00	
Above Average	(4)	1	20.00%			
Average	(3)	2	40.00%			
Below Average	(2)	0	0.00%	1		
Failure	(1)	0	0.00%	1		
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Ra	te			Mean	STD	Median
5/12 (41.67%)			4.00	1.00	4.00

8 - How would you rate the instructor, Jasmine Hocutt, of this course? -												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses			Mea	ans	
Excellent	(5)	4	80.00%						4.80			
Above Average	(4)	1	20.00%									
Average	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Below Average	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Failure	(1)	0	0.00%	1								
				0	25	50	100	C	Question			
Response Ra	te					Mean				STD	M	ədian
5/12 (41.67%)					4.80				0.45	ł	5.00



9 - How frequently did you attend class?											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent	Respo	nses		Mea	ans	
Always	(5)	3	60.00%					4.40			
Usually	(4)	1	20.00%								
Often	(3)	1	20.00%								
Sometimes	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Rarely	(1)	0	0.00%	1							
			-	0	25	50	100	Question			
Response Ra	te					Mean			STD	M	ədian
5/12 (41.67%)					4.40			0.89	:	5.00

10 - The instructor, Jasmine Hocutt, used o	lass time	effectively									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Mea	ans	
Strongly Agree	(5)	4	80.00%					4.80			
Agree	(4)	1	20.00%								
Undecided	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%	1							
				0	25	50	100	Question			
Response Ra	te					Mean			STD	M	ədian
5/12 (41.67%)					4.80			0.45	ł	5.00

11 - The instructor, Jasmine Hocutt, treate	d you with	n respect									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Me	ans	
Strongly Agree	(5)	5	100.00%					5.00			
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%	1							
Undecided	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%	1							
				0	25	50	100	Question			
Response Ra	ite					Mean			STD	Me	dian
5/12 (41.67%)					5.00			0.00	5	i.00

12 - Did you come to class prepared by having completed assignments (readings, problems, projects, etc.)?											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Mea	ns					
Always	(5)	3	60.00%		4.60						
Usually	(4)	2	40.00%								
Often	(3)	0	0.00%								
Sometimes	(2)	0	0.00%								
Rarely	(1)	0	0.00%								
				0 25 50 100	Question						
Response F	ate			Mean	STD	Median					
5/12 (41.67	%)			4.60	0.55	5.00					



13 - Did your background prepare you to ta	ike this co	ourse?								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perce	ent Respo	onses		Me	ans	
Always	(5)	1	20.00%				0.00			
Usually	(4)	3	60.00%				3.80			
Often	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
Sometimes	(2)	1	20.00%							
Not at all	(1)	0	0.00%	1						
				0 :	25 50	100	Question			
Response Ra	te				Mean			STD	Me	edian
5/12 (41.67%)				3.80			1.10	4	.00

14 - Were the course requirements clearly	defined?										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Меа	ans	
Always	(5)	4	80.00%					4.80			
Usually	(4)	1	20.00%								
Often	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Sometimes	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Not at all	(1)	0	0.00%	1							
				0	25	50	100	Question			
Response Ra	te					Mean			STD	M	edian
5/12 (41.67%)					4.80			0.45	ł	5.00

15 - Were the reading materials/text usefu	I to the co	urse?									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent	Respo	nses		Me	ans	
Always	(5)	2	40.00%					4.00			
Usually	(4)	2	40.00%					1100			
Often	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Sometimes	(2)	1	20.00%								
Not at all	(1)	0	0.00%	1							
				0	25	50	100	Question			
Response R	ate					Mean			STD	Me	edian
5/12 (41.67	%)					4.00			1.22	4	1.00

16 - Were the tests and/or assignments appropriate for the course materials?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respo	nses		Mea	ns			
Always	(5)	3	60.00%				4.60					
Usually	(4)	2	40.00%									
Often	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Sometimes	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Not at all	(1)	0	0.00%	1								
	·			0 25	50	100	Question					
Res	ponse Rate				Mean			STD	Median			
5/1	2 (41.67%)				4.60			0.55	5.00			



17 - How frequently did you seek out-of-class help from your instructor, Jasmine Hocutt? -												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Res	oonses		Mea	ns				
Frequently	(5)	1	20.00%									
Usually	(4)	0	0.00%	1		2.80						
Quite a bit	(3)	1	20.00%			2.80						
Occasionally	(2)	3	60.00%									
Not at all	(1)	0	0.00%	1								
				0 25 50	100	Question						
Response F	ate			Mea	n		STD	Median				
5/12 (41.67	%)			2.80)		1.30	2.00				

18 - How much did you learn in this course	?										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Me	ans	
A great deal	(5)	1	25.00%					4.00			
Much	(4)	2	50.00%								
Some	(3)	1	25.00%								
Little	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Nothing	(1)	0	0.00%	1							
				0	25	50	100	Question			
Response Ra	te					Mean			STD	M	edian
4/12 (33.33%)					4.00			0.82	4	1.00

19 - Was this a required course for you?											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Mea	ans	
Yes	(2)	5	100.00%					2.00			
No	(1)	0	0.00%								
				0	25	50	100	Question			
Response Ra	te					Mean			STD	Me	dian
5/12 (41.67%)					2.00			0.00	2	.00

20 - Any additional comments about the instructor, Jasmine Hocutt. -

3/12 (25%)

Response Rate

• The instructor, Ms. Hocutt, made Math 110 an easy class and a welcoming environment. She was always available to answer questions and she went the extra mile to ensure students understood the content. I enjoyed the way she formatted lessons and taught content. She also had a plethora of resources and extra practice available to students on blackboard. It was an overall good experience for my first college math class.

• Professor Hocutt is an excellent teacher and truly cares about her student's success. I have personally struggled with Math all throughout school and the speed at which we have to go because of the short length of the semester would be nearly impossible and 10x more difficult for me had she not made herself so available and helpful during the class and outside of it as well. My mom has been an educator and professor for all of my life and I feed as if I can usually tell when someone really cares about their student's success. Professor Hocutt is definitely one of them and I hope that we continue to get more educators like Professor Hocutt here at the University of Alabama because I believe we will benefit greatly.

Very sweet, and is there for you're needs. Tries her best to meet up and makes it very clear when theres availability.

21 - Any additional comments about	1 - Any additional comments about the course.										
Response Rate	1/12 (8.33%)										
Some of the shorter lessons seemed to dra	• Some of the shorter lessons seemed to drag on at times, especially when student attendance was low. I think, if anything, the pace could go a little faster. This might avoid the awkward pauses the										

class sometimes has. I think the pacing worked better when two lessons were fit into one day over one lesson stretched out over a longer period of time.



1 - The procedure for grading was fair.										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percen	t Respo	nses		Mea	ans	
Strongly Agree	(5)	17	77.27%				4.77			
Agree	(4)	5	22.73%							
Undecided	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1						
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%	1						
			-	0 25	50	100	Question			
Response Ra	te				Mean			STD	Me	dian
22/48 (45.83%	b)				4.77			0.43	5	.00

2 - The course was a valuable experience.											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent	Respo	nses		Me	ans	
Strongly Agree	(5)	14	63.64%					4.50			
Agree	(4)	5	22.73%								
Undecided	(3)	3	13.64%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%	1							
				0	25	50	100	Question			
Response Ra	te					Mean			STD	M	edian
22/48 (45.83%	6)					4.50			0.74	:	5.00

3 - The instructor, Jasmine Hocutt, was an	effective	communicato	or							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perce	ent Resp	onses		Me	ans	
Strongly Agree	(5)	14	66.67%				4.67	1		
Agree	(4)	7	33.33%							
Undecided	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1						
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%	1						
				0 2	25 50	100	Question	1		
Response Ra	ite				Mear	I		STD	M	edian
21/48 (43.75%	%)				4.67			0.48	Į	5.00

4 - The instructor, Jasmine Hocutt, was ac	cessible t	o students ou	Itside of cla	ss					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percer	nt Respo	onses		Меа	ins
Strongly Agree	(5)	15	68.18%				4.68		
Agree	(4)	7	31.82%						
Undecided	(3)	0	0.00%	1					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%	1					
				0 25	5 50	100	Question		
Response Ra	ite				Mean			STD	Median
22/48 (45.83	%)				4.68			0.48	5.00



5 - The instructor, Jasmine Hocutt, was well-prepared for class											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Меа	ns					
Strongly Agree	(5)	17	77.27%		4.77						
Agree	(4)	5	22.73%								
Undecided	(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%								
				0 25 50 100	Question						
Response Ra	te			Mean	STD	Median					
22/48 (45.83%	b)			4.77	0.43	5.00					

6 - What grade do you expect to receive in this course?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent R	espons	es		Me	ans			
А	(6)	9	40.91%									
В	(5)	9	40.91%									
С	(4)	3	13.64%									
D	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
F	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Other	(1)	1	4.55%									
				0 25	50	100						
			Res	oonse Rate								
			22/4	8 (45.83%)								

7 - How would you rate this course?											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Меа	ns			
Excellent	(5)	10	45.45%			4.18					
Above Average	(4)	6	27.27%								
Average	(3)	6	27.27%								
Below Average	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Failure	(1)	0	0.00%	1							
				0 25 50 10)	Question					
Response Ra	te			Mean			STD	Median			
22/48 (45.83%	6)			4.18			0.85	4.00			

8 - How would you rate the instructor, Jasmine Hocutt, of this course? -												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent	Respo	nses			Me	ans	
Excellent	(5)	16	72.73%						4.68			
Above Average	(4)	5	22.73%									
Average	(3)	1	4.55%									
Below Average	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Failure	(1)	0	0.00%	1								
				0	25	50	100	C	Question			
Response Ra	te					Mean				STD	M	edian
22/48 (45.83%	6)					4.68				0.57	4	5.00



9 - How frequently did you attend class?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses			Me	ans	
Always	(5)	13	59.09%					4.	.09			
Usually	(4)	4	18.18%		I							
Often	(3)	1	4.55%									
Sometimes	(2)	2	9.09%									
Rarely	(1)	2	9.09%									
				0	25	50	100	Qu	estion			
Response Ra	te					Mean				STD	M	edian
22/48 (45.839	6)					4.09				1.38		5.00

10 - The instructor, Jasmine Hocutt, used class time effectively												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses			Mea	ans	
Strongly Agree	(5)	18	81.82%						4.82			
Agree	(4)	4	18.18%									
Undecided	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%	1								
				0	25	50	100	C	Question			
Response Ra	te					Mean				STD	M	ədian
22/48 (45.83%	6)					4.82				0.39	ł	5.00

11 - The instructor, Jasmine Hocutt, treated you with respect											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		м	eans	
Strongly Agree	(5)	20	90.91%					4.91			
Agree	(4)	2	9.09%								
Undecided	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%	1							
				0	25	50	100	Question			
Response Ra	te					Mean			STD	Me	edian
22/48 (45.83%	6)					4.91			0.29	Ę	5.00

12 - Did you come to class prepared by having completed assignments (readings, problems, projects, etc.)?											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perce	nt Respor	nses		Mea	ns		
Always	(5)	16	72.73%				4.55				
Usually	(4)	4	18.18%								
Often	(3)	1	4.55%								
Sometimes	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Rarely	(1)	1	4.55%								
				0 2	5 50	100	Question				
Response	Rate				Mean			STD	Median		
22/48 (45.8	3%)				22/48 (45.83%) 4.55 0.96 5.00						



13 - Did your background prepare you to take this course?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses			Me	ans	
Always	(5)	8	36.36%						0.77			
Usually	(4)	6	27.27%						3.77			
Often	(3)	5	22.73%									
Sometimes	(2)	1	4.55%									
Not at all	(1)	2	9.09%									
			-	0	25	50	100		Question			
Response Ra	te					Mean				STD	Me	edian
22/48 (45.83%	6)					3.77				1.27	2	1.00

14 - Were the course requirements clearly defined?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses			Mea	ans	
Always	(5)	19	86.36%						4.82			
Usually	(4)	2	9.09%									
Often	(3)	1	4.55%									
Sometimes	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Not at all	(1)	0	0.00%	1								
				0	25	50	100		Question			
Response Ra	te					Mean				STD	M	edian
22/48 (45.83%	b)					4.82				0.50	ł	5.00

15 - Were the reading materials/text u	seful to the cou	urse?				
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Ме	ans
Always	(5)	10	45.45%		3.95	
Usually	(4)	5	22.73%		5.35	
Often	(3)	3	13.64%			
Sometimes	(2)	4	18.18%			
Not at all	(1)	0	0.00%	1		
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Respon	ise Rate			Mean	STD	Median
22/48 (4	45.83%)			3.95	1.17	4.00

16 - Were the tests and/or assignments appropriate for the course materials?											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Меа	ns					
Always	(5)	17	77.27%		4.77						
Usually	(4)	5	22.73%								
Often	(3)	0	0.00%								
Sometimes	(2)	0	0.00%								
Not at all	(1)	0	0.00%								
	·			0 25 50 100	Question						
Resp	onse Rate			Mean	STD	Median					
22/48	(45.83%)			4.77	0.43	5.00					



17 - How frequently did you seek out-of-class help from your instructor, Jasmine Hocutt? -												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			nses	Means				
Frequently	(5)	2	9.09%									
Usually	(4)	2	9.09%									
Quite a bit	(3)	1	4.55%					2.14				
Occasionally	(2)	9	40.91%									
Not at all	(1)	8	36.36%									
			•	0	25	50	100	Question				
Response Rate						Mean			STD	Me	Median	
22/48 (45.83%)						2.14			1.28	2	2.00	

18 - How much did you learn in this course?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means				
A great deal	(5)	9	40.91%					_	4.27			
Much	(4)	10	45.45%									
Some	(3)	3	13.64%									
Little	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Nothing	(1)	0	0.00%	1								
				0	25	50	100	0	Question			
Response Rate						Mean			STD		Median	
22/48 (45.83%)				4.27				0.70			4.00	

19 - Was this a required course for you?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	Percent Responses			Means				
Yes	(2)	20	90.91%					1.91				
No	(1)	2	9.09%									
				0	25	50	100	Question				
Response Rate						Mean			STD	Median		
22/48 (45.83%)						1.91			0.29	2.00		

20 - Any additional comments about the instructor, Jasmine Hocutt. -

Response Rate

9/48 (18 75%) Mrs. Hocutt is the absolute BEST!! She has helped me so so much and deserves a well needed RAISE!

3/48 (6.25%)

• She's a great teacher and explains example problems really well and if you need extra help she is more than willing to help.

· Good instructor. Hope I get her again for another math class next semester.

• She's an excellent teacher! Never afraid to answer what seems like a stupid question, and can always explain anything in three different ways until you understand. I would absolutely recommend her.

• Mrs. Hocutt was hands-down the best math teacher I have ever had in my entire life. I would take her for everything I need in math. I feel like I learned some of the most crucial things in math that would prepare me for upper level courses

• Professor Hocutt is an excellent professor who genuinely cares about the performance of her students. I appreciated receiving an encouraging email from her after doing better on my second exam compared to my first exam. It showed she noticed, and that says a lot. It made me want to do better in the class, although math is not a strong subject for me.

· She was. An excellent communicator!

• She was very attentive and helpful with working with all students. She accommodated my personal needs on every occasion.

• Is a great instructor and helped as much as a teacher could in this class set up, the design for the math 112 course just isn't set up well in my opinion.

21 - Any additional comments about the course.

Response Rate

• I personally don't like online math classes. I prefer in-person lectures on the topic, but class time was essentially just a computer lab to do your work in, so when I heard attendance wasn't mandatory, I stopped going.

The most information math class I have taken, but the format needs to be changed from a lab to lecture format. It would be of more use to us because I did not feel compelled to come to class.

• The design of this course is set up very poorly in my opinion, there is no lectures making the students learn the material on their own, as if it were an online class. I believe they should add lectures back to math 112 so students who only want the labs can take the class online and other students who like being taught materials during a full class time could get the chance to receive lectures.